

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> 30-Sep-2009		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> REPRINT		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b>	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> SEISMIC TOMOGRAPHY OF THE ARABIAN-EURASIAN COLLISION ZONE AND SURROUNDING AREAS				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> FA8718-07-C-0001	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b> 62601F	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> M. Nafi Toksöz, Robert D. Van der Hilst, Youshun Sun, Haijiang Zhang, Xiangfang Zeng, and Shunping Pei				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> 1010	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b> SM	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b> A1	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  Massachusetts Institute of Technology E34-440, 42 Carelton Street Cambridge, MA 02142				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Air Force Research Laboratory 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b> AFRL/RVBYE	
				<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b> AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2009-1067	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.					
<b>20090914188</b>					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> Reprinted from: Proceedings of the 2009 Monitoring Research Review - Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 21 - 23 September 2009, Tucson, AZ, Volume 1 pp 239 - 248.					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> <p>The objectives of this study are to determine <i>P</i>- and <i>S</i>-wave velocity structures in the crust and upper mantle, and to characterize seismic wave propagation in the Arabian-Eurasian collision zone and surrounding areas, including Iran, Arabia, Eastern Turkey, and the Caucasus. The Arabian-Eurasian plate boundary is a complex tectonic zone shaped by continent-continent collision processes. In recent years the number of seismic stations has increased greatly in the region because of expanded seismic networks in Azerbaijan, Turkey, Iran and the Gulf countries. We have been collecting the data through cooperation with individual network operators and the countries. Considerable effort has been directed to collecting <i>P</i> and <i>S</i> seismic arrival time data recorded by the new networks in Iran. Using arrival time data we obtained <i>P<sub>n</sub></i> and <i>S<sub>n</sub></i> images of the uppermost mantle beneath Arabian-Eurasian Collision Zone including Iran, the Caucasus, and the Arabian Peninsula by tomographic inversion. With the newly obtained data from Central Asia incorporated into our database, we improved the ray coverage in our study region.</p> <p>Our current plan is to utilize the new data from Iran to improve the velocity models. This effort will include tomographic inversions for velocity structure in the crust and upper mantle, relocation of all events, and the validation of models using synthetic seismograms to fit available broad-band waveforms</p>					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Seismic tomography, Arrival times, Body waves					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>  SAR	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>  10	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b> Robert J. Raistrick
<b>a. REPORT</b> UNCLAS	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> UNCLAS	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> UNCLAS			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> (include area code)

SEISMIC TOMOGRAPHY OF THE ARABIAN-EURASIAN COLLISION ZONE  
AND SURROUNDING AREAS

M. Nafi Toksöz, Robert D. Van der Hilst, Youshun Sun, Haijiang Zhang,  
Xiangfang Zeng, and Shunping Pei

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Sponsored by the Air Force Research Laboratory

Award No. FA8718-07-C-0001

Proposal No. BAA07-09

**ABSTRACT**

The objectives of this study are to determine *P*- and *S*-wave velocity structures in the crust and upper mantle, and to characterize seismic wave propagation in the Arabian-Eurasian collision zone and surrounding areas, including Iran, Arabia, Eastern Turkey, and the Caucasus. The Arabian-Eurasian plate boundary is a complex tectonic zone shaped by continent-continent collision processes. In recent years the number of seismic stations has increased greatly in the region because of expanded seismic networks in Azerbaijan, Turkey, Iran and the Gulf countries. We have been collecting the data through cooperation with individual network operators and the countries. Considerable effort has been directed to collecting *P* and *S* seismic arrival time data recorded by the new networks in Iran. Using arrival time data we obtained *Pn* and *Sn* images of the uppermost mantle beneath Arabian-Eurasian Collision Zone including Iran, the Caucasus, and the Arabian Peninsula by tomographic inversion. With the newly obtained data from Central Asia incorporated into our database, we improved the ray coverage in our study region.

Our current plan is to utilize the new data from Iran to improve the velocity models. This effort will include tomographic inversions for velocity structure in the crust and upper mantle, relocation of all events, and the validation of models using synthetic seismograms to fit available broad-band waveforms.

DTIC COPY



## OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study are to determine *P*- and *S*-wave velocity structures in the crust and upper mantle, and to characterize seismic wave propagation in the Arabian-Eurasian collision zone and surrounding areas, including Iran, Arabia, Eastern Turkey, and the Caucasus. The area of the study, shown in Figure 1, extends east-west from the Mediterranean to Central Asia, and north-south from the Caspian to the Gulf of Aden and the Arabian Sea. The area covers all of the Arabian plate, the collision zone, and the areas in the Eurasian plate whose structure and tectonics are affected by the collision. The project will include data from countries whose seismic networks have expanded significantly in recent years, such as Turkey, Azerbaijan, Iran, Kuwait, United Arab Emirates (UAE), Oman, and Saudi Arabia. These locations provide data for high-resolution *P* and *S* wave travel-time tomography. Recent observations show that wave propagation and attenuation vary significantly even with small changes across the suture zone, indicating rapid spatial changes in the crust and mantle properties.

A number of unanswered questions remain about the structure and processes in the upper mantle beneath the collision zone. The fate of the Neotethys plate subducted prior to the continental collision remains largely unknown. There are no intermediate and deep earthquakes under the Zagros-Bitlis suture zone, yet the subduction is too recent for the slab to reach thermal equilibrium and be assimilated. Some studies have suggested that the slab has recently broken off beneath the suture zone (Bird, 1978; Molinaro et al., 2005). In the Makran subduction zone in the south, seismicity and structure have been studied with the deployment of dense seismic networks (Yamini-Fard and Hatzfeld, 2006), confirming the Makran subduction, yet the nature of the transition from the subduction zone to the Zagros suture zone has not been fully resolved. High-resolution travel-time tomography would be a major step towards defining the present-day crustal and mantle structure of the Middle East region.




Figure 1. Topographic map of the Middle East and surrounding regions. White lines denote the location of known faults, while the black dots represent the epicenter locations of earthquakes in the region.

## **RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHED**

### **Tectonic Setting**

The Arabian-Eurasian plate boundary is extremely complex, and it is an ideal region to study a young (geologically) continent-continent collision belt. The current tectonics of the region are controlled by the collision and continuing convergence of the Arabian and Eurasian plates. The Arabian and Eurasian plates collided in the early Miocene, after the Neotethys Sea was subducted beneath Eurasia (Bird, 1978; Şengör and Yılmaz, 1981; Jackson and McKenzie, 1984; Dewey et al., 1986). Pre-, syn-, and post-collision tectonics produced very complex structures in the region. Over the last decade, a number of seismic studies have examined the crust and upper mantle structure beneath the Middle East to constrain the nature of the Arabian-Eurasian collision zone. Large-scale surface-wave tomography studies have shown variable crustal thickness and upper mantle velocities (Ritzwoller and Levshin, 1998; Pasyanos et al., 2001; Villasenor et al., 2001; Pasyanos and Walter, 2002; Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2002; Alinaghi et al., 2007; Reiter and Rodi, 2006). Regional-scale surface wave tomographic studies further highlight the complexity of the collision zone (Mindevalli and Mithell, 1989; Rodgers et al., 1999; Mokhtar et al., 2001; Maggi and Priestley, 2005) showing a thickened crust under the Caucasus and Zagros, and low shear velocity beneath the Turkish and Iranian plateaus.

Hearn and Ni (1994), Ritzwoller et al. (1998), Al-Lazki et al. (2003; 2004), and Phillips et al. (2007) found slow *Pn* velocities ( $\leq 8$  km/s) beneath the Anatolian plateau, northwestern Iran, the Greater Caucasus, and southwestern Arabia. The *Pn* velocities beneath northern Arabia and the Caspian region are faster than average (Al Lazki et al., 2004; Ritzwoller et al., 2002). This high degree of variability suggests that the Earth structure may be extremely complicated in the region.

Studies of the propagation and attenuation characteristics of regional waves (e.g., *Pn*, *Sn*, and *Lg*) provide additional evidence for strong heterogeneities. Surface wave studies show high shear wave attenuation beneath Iran, Anatolia and the western part of the Arabian plate, and relatively low attenuation in central and eastern Arabia (Seber and Mitchell, 1992; Sandvol et al., 2001; Jamberie and Mitchell, 2004; Molinaro et al., 2005; Bergman et al., 2008; Priestley et al., 2008; Pasyanos et al., 2009). *Sn* and *Lg* waves are attenuated through much of the collision zone between the Arabian and Eurasian plates (Kadinsky-Cade et al., 1981; Rodgers et al., 1987; Mitchell et al., 1997; Cong and Mitchell, 1998; Gök et al., 2000; Sandvol et al., 2001; Al-Damegh et al., 2004; Zor et al., 2007). An *Lg* blockage exists across the Bitlis suture zone and across the Zagros fold and thrust belt. The studies mentioned above present consistent results for the crust and uppermost mantle seismic properties on a regional scale. Significant variation in waveforms, observed particularly in short-period seismograms, over propagation paths that are close to each other, suggests structural variations over short distances at regional boundaries. Delineating these features requires seismic data from dense local and regional seismic networks. During this year we were able to increase the phase (arrival time) data significantly by adding readings from Iran and other regional networks.

### **Travel-Time Data and *Pn* and *Sn* Tomography**

An important task under this project is to collect arrival time data from seismic stations situated in more than 20 countries in the region. A significant number of these stations are in networks that are relatively new and whose data are not available from global data centers such as IRIS or ISC. Figure 2 shows seismic stations in the region from which data may be obtained either through data centers or by bilateral arrangements. In the past year, Iran started to make available phase data from its networks. In Iran there are seven regional networks, deployed around major cities, with a total of 73 stations. Recently, Tehran University was designated as the central location that would collect and integrate data from the regional networks into a central database. The use of data from stations in Iran required deciphering of nomenclature and resolution of some apparent discrepancies between data from individual networks and the central database. We were able to obtain 100,000 *P* and 70,000 *S* arrival time recordings from local earthquakes in the time period between January 2006 and March 2008. Our database for the *Pn* tomography in the whole study region includes 160,000 arrival times from 850 stations and 18,000 earthquakes. The source-station ray paths are shown in Figure 3A. For *Sn*, the data are fewer, with 75,000 total phase reading. The ray paths are shown in Figure 3B.






Figure 2. Seismic stations (triangles) and events (black dots) in the region. Circles show broadband stations.




Figure 3. (A) Top: Ray paths for Pn travel times. From 18,000 events recorded by 850 stations (red triangles), 160,000 Pn rays were obtained (black crosses). (B) Bottom: Ray paths for 75,000 Sn travel times.






Figure 4. (A) Top:  $P_n$  velocity lateral variations. Average  $P_n$  velocity is 8.09 km/s. (B) Bottom:  $S_n$  velocity lateral variations. Average  $S_n$  velocity is 4.6 km/s.

The  $P_n$  and  $S_n$  travel times (residuals) are inverted for lateral velocity variations using Hearn's approach (Hearn, 1996; Hearn et al., 2004), modified by Pei et al. (2007). Figures 4A and 4B show  $P_n$  and  $S_n$  velocities, respectively. The general features of  $P_n$  velocities are similar to those of Philips et al. (2007) except that Figure 4A has finer spatial resolution.  $P_n$  velocities are low under eastern Anatolia, NW Iran, and the Southern Caucasus. Isolated low-velocity anomalies exist along the Levant Fracture (Dead Sea Fault) Zone. A prominent low-velocity feature is observed just to the south of the Caspian Sea. The Iranian plateau is characterized by lower than average  $P_n$  velocity.  $P_n$  velocities are high under the Arabian platform, the Persian Gulf, and under the Zagros fold belt. Most likely these are the velocities associated with the top of the Neotethys lithosphere. In the north, the higher velocities are under the Black Sea, the Rioni and Kara Basins between the Greater and Lesser Caucasus, under the southern



Caspian, and the Kyzyl and Kara Kum Basins of Turkmenistan. These are most likely the remnants of the Paleotethys (Gülen, 1989).  $S_n$  velocities shown in Figure 4B are similar to  $P_n$ . In general, the regional features correlate quite well. Some isolated anomalies (e.g., the Levant Fracture Zone) are very similar between the  $P_n$  and  $S_n$ .

#### Data from Iran

Most important new data for this study come from two seismic networks in Iran: Iranian Seismic Telemetry Network (ISTN) and Iran National Seismic Network (INSN) (Figure 5). The ISTN was founded in 1995. In total 73 stations are grouped into ten sub-networks distributed in most parts of Iran. There are 67 three-component short period stations and six analogue stations. The International Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Seismology operates the other nation wide seismic network: INSN. All 30 INSN stations use broadband seismographs. Figure 5 shows the station distributions in both networks.

The Institute of Geophysics at Tehran University serves as the central processing facility for ISTN. Under their management, the data quality has improved significantly in the past few years. They make available through their website travel time, amplitude, and, for selected events, waveform data. Figure 6 shows examples of travel time data from both ISTN and INSN networks. We collected phase arrivals and maximum amplitudes reported by both networks from July, 2004 to May, 2009. This dataset provides abundant local and regional phase arrivals, which are useful in extracting crustal seismic structure. The ISTN catalogue includes 25,471 earthquakes between Feb, 2006 and Apr, 2009. More than 240,000 arrivals were reported. There are about 160,000  $P$  arrivals and 80,000  $S$  arrivals. The INSN reported 3,342 events before 2008 and used 15,112  $P$ -wave arrivals, 4,927  $S$ -wave arrivals to locate events.




Figure 5. Seismic stations in Iran. INSN stations are in circles and ISTN stations are in triangles.




Figure 6. Available *P* and *S* travel times from INSN (left) and ISTN (right) networks.

#### Relocation of Earthquakes in Iran

The local and regional networks (shown in Figure 5) provide good data coverage (Figure 6) to relocate earthquakes using high-quality *P*- and *S*-wave travel-times. We apply an adaptive-mesh, double-difference tomography method to the Iranian data set to improve event locations and to obtain preliminary velocity models (Zhang and Thurber, 2003; 2005). Figure 7 shows the comparison of travel-time residuals using 1-D and 3-D models for event relocation. The 1-D *P* and *S* models used for relocation were adopted from Crust 2.0 and the 3-D models were obtained while simultaneously locating events. The overall residuals are reduced from 1.2 s to 0.9 s. Figure 8 shows the relocation of a group of events in the year of 2006 and an example of event relocation using 1-D and 3-D models. The average difference between the original locations and the 3-D relocations is 10 km.




Figure 7. Comparison of residuals using 1-D model (solid line) and 3-D model (red).






Figure 8. Epicentral differences between the relocations and original locations of earthquakes in Iran.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Primary activities were dedicated to collecting P and S wave local/regional arrival time data from more than 750 stations in the study area. For the first time we were able to obtain arrival-time data from 103 stations in Iran. Altogether 200,000 *P* arrivals and 100,000 *S* arrivals from Iran were added to the database to do a seamless tomography of the crust and upper mantle. The tomographic results reveal a significant low velocity zone to the south of the Caspian Sea. Along the Zagros belt, a large low-velocity zone is clearly observed. Other prominent low *Pn* and *Sn* anomalies are visible in the Northwestern Iran, the Caucasus Anatolian Plateau and the Easternmost Mediterranean. We are in the process of testing these models using well-located ground-truth events and synthetic seismograms to fit available broad-band waveforms.

## REFERENCES

- Al-Damgh, K., E. Sandvol, A. Al-Lazki, and M. Barazangi (2004). Regional seismic wave propagation (*Lg* and *Sn*) and *Pn* attenuation in the Arabian Plate and surrounding regions, *Geophys. J. Int.* 157: 775–795.
- Alinaghi, A., I. Koulakov, and H. Thybo (2007). Seismic tomographic imaging of P- and S-waves velocity perturbations in the upper mantle beneath Iran, *Geophys. J. Inter.* 169: 3, 1089–1102.
- Al-Lazki, A., E. Sandvol, D. Seber, M. Barazangi, and N. Turkelli (2003). *Pn* tomographic imaging of mantle lid velocity and anisotropy at the junction of the Arabian, Eurasian, and African plates, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30: doi:10.1029/2003GL017391.
- Al-Lazki, A. I., E. Sandvol, D. Seber, M. Barazangi, N. Turkelli, and R. Mohamad (2004). *Pn* tomographic imaging of mantle lid velocity and anisotropy at the junction of the Arabian, Eurasian and African plates, *Geophys. J. Int.* 158: 1024–1040.
- Bergman, E.A., E.R. Engdahl, M.H. Ritzwoller, and S.C. Myers (2008). Crustal structure of the Iran region from in-country and ground-truth data, in *Proceedings of the 30th Monitoring Research Review: Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies*, LA-UR-08-05261, Vol. 1, pp. 3–9.
- Bird, P. (1978). Finite-element modeling of lithosphere deformation: The Zagros collision orogeny, *Tectonophysics* 50: 307–336.

- Cong, L. and B. J. Mitchell (1998). Seismic velocity and Q structure of the Middle Eastern crust and upper mantle from surface wave dispersion and attenuation, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 153: 503–538.
- Dewey, J. F., M. R. Hempton, W. S. F. Kidd, F. Saroglu, and A. M. C. Şengör (1986). Shortening of continental lithosphere: The neotectonics of eastern Anatolia—A young collision zone, in *Collision Tectonics*, M. P. Coward and A. C. Ries (eds.) London: Geol. Soc. London, pp. 3–36.
- Gök, R., N. Turkelli, E. Sandvol, D. Seber, and M. Barazangi (2000). Regional wave propagation in Turkey and surrounding regions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27: 429–432.
- Gülen L. (1989). From plate tectonics to global domain tectonics, in *Crust/Mantle Recycling at Convergence Zones*, S. R. Hart and L. Gülen (eds.), NATO ASI Series, C258. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 173–179.
- Hearn, T. M., S. Wang, J. Ni, Z. Xu, Y. Yu, and X. Zhang (2004). Uppermost mantle velocities beneath China and surrounding regions, *J. Geophys. Res.* 109: doi:10.1029/2003JB002874.
- Hearn, T. M. and J. Ni (1994). Pn velocities beneath continental collision zones: The Turkish-Iranian plateau, *Geophys. J. Int.* 117: 273–283.
- Hearn, T. M. (1996). Anisotropic Pn tomography in the western United States, *J. Geophys. Res.* 101: 8403–8414.
- Jackson, J. and D. McKenzie (1984). The active tectonics of the Alpine-Himalayan belt between western Turkey and Pakistan, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.* 77: 185–265.
- Jamberie, A. L. and B. J. Mitchell (2004). Shear wave Q structure and its lateral variation in the crust of China and surrounding regions, *Geophys. J. Int.* 157: 363–380.
- Kadinsky-Cade, J., M. Barazangi, J. Oliver, and V. Isacks (1981). Lateral variation in high-frequency seismic wave propagation at regional distances across the Turkish and Iranian plateaus, *J. Geophys. Res.* 86: 9377–9396.
- Maggi, A. and K. Priestley (2005). Surface waveform tomography of the Turkish-Iranian plateau, *Geophys. J. Int.* 160: 1068–1080.
- Mindevalli, O. Y. and B. J. Mitchell (1989). Crustal structure and possible anisotropy in Turkey from seismic surface wave dispersion, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.* 98: 93–106.
- Mitchell, B. J., Y. Pan, J. Xie, and L. Cong (1997). Lg code Q variation across Eurasia and its relation to crustal evolution, *J. Geophys. Res.* 102: 22,767–22,779.
- Mokhtar, T. H., C. A. Ammon, R. B. Herrmann, and A. A. Ghalib (2001). Surface wave velocity across Arabia, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 158: 144–1425.
- Molinaro, M., H. Zeyen, and X. Laurencin (2005). Lithospheric structure beneath the southeastern Zagros Mountains, Iran: Recent slab break-off? *Terra Nova*, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3121.2004.00575.
- Pasyanos, M. E., E. M. Matzel, W. R. Walter, and A. J. Rodgers (2009). Broad-band Lg attenuation modelling in the Middle East, *Geophys. J. Int.* 177: 1166–1176.
- Pasyanos, M. E., W. R. Walter, and S. E. Hazler (2001). A surface wave dispersion study of the Middle East and North Africa for monitoring the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 158: 1445–1474.
- Pasyanos, M. E. and W. R. Walter (2002). Crust and upper mantle structure of North Africa, Europe, and the Middle East from inversion of surface waves, *J. Geophys. Int.* 149: 463–481.
- Pei, S., J. Zhao, Y. Sun, Z. Xu, S. Wang, H. Liu, C. A. Rowe, M. N. Toksoz, and X. Gao (2007). Upper mantle seismic velocities and anisotropy in China determined through Pn and Sn tomography. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, B05312, doi:10.1029/2006JB004409.
- Phillips, W. S., M. L. Begnaud, C. A. Rowe, L. K. Steck, S. C. Myers, M. E. Pasyanos, and S. Ballard (2007). Accounting for lateral variations of the upper mantle gradient in Pn tomography studies, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34: L14312, doi:10.1029/2007GL029338.
- Priestley, K., D. McKenzie, E. Debayle, J. Jackson, M. Tatar, (2008). Thick lithospheric root beneath the Zagros Mountains of Iran, *Eos Trans. AGU* 89: 53, Fall Meeting Supplement, Abstract T23D-07.
- Reiter, D. and W. Rodi (2006). Crustal and upper-mantle P- and S-velocity structure in central and southern Asia from joint body-and surface-wave inversion, in *Proceedings of the 28th Seismic Research Review: Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies*, LA-UR-06-5471, Vol. 1, pp. 209–218.



- Ritzwoller, M. and A. Levshin (1998). Eurasian surface wave tomography: Group velocities, *J. Geophys. Res.* 103: 4839–4878.
- Ritzwoller, M., A. Levshin, L. Ratnikova, and A. Egorkin (1998). Intermediate-period group-velocity maps across Central Asia, western China, and parts of the Middle East, *Geophys. J. Int.* 134: 315–328.
- Ritzwoller, M. H., M. P. Barmin, A. Villasenor, A. L. Levshin, and E. R. Engdahl (2002). Pn and Sn tomography across Eurasia to improve regional seismic event locations, *Tectonophysics* 358: 39–55.
- Rodgers, A. J., J. F. Ni, and T. N. Hearn (1987). Propagation characteristics of short-period Sn and Lg in the Middle East, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* 87: 396–413.
- Rodgers, A. J., W. R. Walter, R. J. Mellors, A. M. S. Al-Amri, and Y-S. Zhang (1999). Lithospheric structure of the Arabian Shield and Platform from complete regional waveform modeling and surface wave group velocities, *Geophys. J. Int.* 138: 871–878.
- Sandvol, E., K. Al-Damegh, A. Calvert, D. Seber, M. Barazangi, R. Mohamad, R. Gok, N. Turkelli, and C. Gurbuz (2001). Tomographic imaging of Lg and Sn propagation in the Middle East, *Pure and Appl. Geophys.* 158: 1121–1163.
- Seber, D. and B. Mitchell (1992). Attenuation of surface waves across the Arabian Peninsula, *Tectonophysics* 204: 137–170.
- Şengör, A. M. C. and Y. Yılmaz (1981). Tethyan evolution of Turkey: A plate tectonic approach, *Tectonophysics* 75: 181–241.
- Shapiro, N. M. and M. H. Ritzwoller (2002). Monte-Carlo inversion for a global shear velocity model of the crust and upper mantle, *Geophys. J. Int.* 152: 88–105.
- Villasenor, A., M. H. Ritzwoller, A. L. Levshin, M. P. Barmin, E. R. Engdahl, W. Spakman, and J. Trampert (2001). Shear velocity structure of central Eurasia from inversion of surface wave velocities, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 123: 169–184.
- Yamini-Fard, F. and D. Hatzfeld (2006). Microseismicity and crustal structure of the Zagros-Makran Transition Zone (Iran): Evidence for a progressive transition from continental collision to oceanic subduction, in *Proceedings of the 2006 Gulf Seismic Forum*.
- Zhang, H., and C. H. Thurber (2003). Double-Difference Tomography: The method and its application to the Hayward Fault, California, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 93: 1875–1889.
- Zhang, H., and C. Thurber (2005). Adaptive-mesh seismic tomography based on tetrahedral and Voronoi diagrams: Application to Parkfield, California, *J. Geophys. Res.* 110: B04303, doi:10.1029/2004JB003186.
- Zhang, H., and C. Thurber (2006). Development and applications of double-difference tomography, *Pure and Applied Geophys.* 163: 373–403, doi:10.1007/s00024-005-0021-y.
- Zor E., Sandvol E., J. Xie, N. Türkelli, B. Mitchell, A. H. Gasanov, and G. Yetirmishli (2007). Crustal Attenuation within the Turkish Plateau and Surrounding Regions. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 97: 151–161.